18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1					
2					
3					
4					
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	AIDS HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION, No. C 16-0443 WHA				
10	INC.,				
11	Plaintiff,				
12	v.				
13	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC., JAPAN TOBACCO INC., JAPAN TOBACCO ORDER RE PAGE LIMITS FOR BRIEFS ON MOTIONS				
14	INTERNATIONAL U.S.A. INC., EMORY TO DISMISS UNIVERSITY,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions regarding enargling the page limits				

The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions regarding enargling the page limits on briefs for the forthcoming motions to dismiss and the possibility of omnibus briefs.

The page limits shall be as follows:

- Gilead's motion: **30 PAGES.**
- Other motions: **25 PAGES**.
- AIDS Healthcare's omnibus opposition: **60 PAGES**.
- Gilead's reply: **18 PAGES**.
- Other replies: **15 PAGES**.

To be clear, defendants may *not* file an omnibus reply. AIDS Healthcare should be clear which arguments address which defendants, and defendants need only reply to the arguments directed at them. If the total length of defendants' briefs substantially exceeds the sixty pages

Case 3:16-cv-00443-WHA Document 73 Filed 05/12/16 Page 2 of 2

claimed in their joint statement, the Court will entertain a request for a further enlargement of
AIDS Healthcare's page limit.
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 12, 2016.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE